



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,092	11/13/2003	Yohei Yamamoto	245301US2	7287
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
			WINTER, JOHN M	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3621		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	THS	04/06/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 04/06/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments		10/706,092	YAMAMOTO, YOHEI				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		John M. Winter	3621				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING assigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by start period by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo atute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	9 Janaury 2007.					
·		his action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo		tters, prosecution as to the merits is				
,_	closed in accordance with the practice unde	· ·	•				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application	ion.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are rejected.						
7)							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con	rection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in	Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have bee	n received in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bur	` ''					
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a l	list of the certified copies no	t received.				
			•				
Lo							
Attachmen		🖸					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-44 are pending

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments filed January 29, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant states that the outstanding rejection does not even clearly set forth which elements in the cited art are corresponded to the claim elements. For example the primary reference to <u>Christiano</u> discloses positive elements such as a license server, computer client, and database, but the outstanding rejection does not explicitly indicate which elements in <u>Christiano</u> are being correlated with which claim element.

The Examiner replies that the server manages licenses and the client is the requestor.

The Applicant states that, in Claim 1 the license acquisition request is sent not from a service use device, such as the client computer in <u>Christiano</u>, but from a service offer device, perhaps corresponding to the internal database 19 in <u>Christiano</u>. <u>Christiano</u> does not disclose or suggest any direct exchange of a license request and a response between a service offer device and a license management device. That is, <u>Christiano</u> does not disclose or suggest any direct exchange of a license request and a response between the client computer and the internal database.

The Examiner submits that in view lack of evidence within the Applicants specification to support otherwise, a "service offer device" can be construed as a client as per <u>Christiano</u>. see following rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 3621

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Christiano (US Patent 5,671,412) in view of Coley et al. (US Patent 5,790,664)

As per claim 1

Christiano ('412) discloses a license management apparatus for managing a license associated with a service that is provided from service offer means to service use means, the license management apparatus comprising:

license management means for managing the license;(Column 3, lines 20-34)

license acquisition request receiving means for receiving an acquisition request for the license from said service offer means; (Column 4, lines 35-46)

Christiano ('412) does not explicitly disclose license sending means for sending the license to said service offer means in response to the acquisition request for the license Coley et al. ('790) discloses license sending means for sending the license to said service offer means in response to the acquisition request for the license (Figure 2) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Christiano ('412)'s system with Coley et al. ('790)'s teaching in order to automatically track software usage by tracking the distribution of licenses

Claims 12, 23 and 34 are in parallel with claim 1 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 2,

Christiano ('412) discloses the license management apparatus as claimed in claim 1, further comprising license counting means for counting a value associated with the license. (Figure 7, column 9, lines 40-64)

Claims 13, 24 and 35 are in parallel with claim 2 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 3,

Christiano ('412) discloses the license management apparatus as claimed in claim 2, wherein said license counting means counts the value when the license is acquired from authentication means that issues the license. (Figure 7, column 9, lines 40-64)

Claims 14, 25 and 36 are in parallel with claim 3 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 4,

Christiano ('412) discloses the license management apparatus as claimed in claim 2, wherein said license counting means counts the value when the license is sent to said service offer means in response to the acquisition request for the license. (column 10, lines 34-48)

Art Unit: 3621

Claims 15, 26 and 37 are in parallel with claim 4 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 5,

Christiano ('412) discloses the license management apparatus as claimed in claim 1, wherein the acquisition request for the license includes a license identifier that identifies the license.(Figure 11)

Claims 16, 27 and 38 are in parallel with claim 5 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 6,

Christiano ('412) discloses the license management apparatus as claimed in claim 1, wherein said license management means manages the license and the license identifier that identifies the licene by relating to each other. (column 10, lines 25-33)

Claims 17, 28 and 39 are in parallel with claim 6 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 7,

Christiano ('412) discloses the license management apparatus as claimed in claim 1, further comprising license identifier acquisition request receiving means for receiving an acquisition request for the license identifier that identifies the license. (Figure 11, column 6, lines 12-18)

Claims 18, 29 and 40 are in parallel with claim 7 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 8,

Christiano ('412) discloses the license management apparatus as claimed in claim 1, further comprising license identifier sending means for sending the license identifier to a requesting means in response to the acquisition request for the license identifier that identifies the license. (Figure 11, column 6, lines 12-18)

Claims 19, 30 and 41 are in parallel with claim 8 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 9,

Christiano ('412) discloses a service offer apparatus comprising service offer means for providing a service to service use means, wherein said service offer means comprises:

license acquisition request sending means for sending an acquisition request for the license associated with the service to license managing means for managing the license; (Column 4, lines 35-46)

Art Unit: 3621

6

Christiano ('412) does not explicitly disclose license receiving means for receiving the license from said license management means. Coley et al. ('790) discloses license receiving means for receiving the license from said license management means (Figure 2) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Christiano ('412)'s system with Coley et al. ('790)'s teaching in order to automatically track software usage by tracking the distribution of licenses

Claims 20, 31 and 42 are in parallel with claim 9 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 10,

Christiano ('412) discloses the service offer apparatus as claimed in claim 9, wherein the acquisition request for the license contains a license identifier that identifies the license. (Figure 11)

Claims 21, 32 and 43 are in parallel with claim 10 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 11,

Christiano ('412) discloses the service offer apparatus as claimed in claim 9, further comprising license sending means for sending the license acquired from said license management means to said license management means. (Figure 11, column 6, lines 12-18)

Claims 22, 33 and 44 are in parallel with claim 11 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

Conclusion

Examiners note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M. Winter whose telephone number is (571) 272-6713. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6, 1st Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Fischer can be reached on (571) 272-6779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

John Winter

Patent Examiner -- 3621

KAMBIZ ABDI PRIMARY EYAMINER